	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/926,087	OKAJIMA, ICHIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lisa Hashem	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu- IGHTS. This application is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>2-9-2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-9 and 11</u> .		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🗍 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
ldentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./N 7. ☑ Examiner's A	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Art Unit: 2614

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

Page 2

1. Claims 1-9 and 11 are allowed.

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

3. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

James Love (Reg. 58,421) on 4-19-2006. Applicant's representative, James Love, agreed to the

following changes without prejudice:

In claim 1, line 2,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 1, line 3,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 1, line 4,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 1, line 7,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 1, line 8,

Application/Control Number: 09/926,087 Art Unit: 2614 the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to --mobile stations--. In claim 1, line 11, the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to --mobile stations--. In claim 1, line 12, the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to --mobile stations--. In claim 1, line 16, the phrase "radio stations identified by said identifying step from another one of the two radio" has been changed to --mobile stations identified by said identifying step from another one of the two mobile--. In claim 1, line 18, the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to --mobile stations--. In claim 3, line 2, the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to --mobile stations--. In claim 3, line 3, the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to --mobile stations--. In claim 3, line 6,

Art Unit: 2614

the phrase "radio stations to the identified one of the radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations to the identified one of the mobile stations--.

In claim 3, line 7,

the phrase "radio stations determines that only said another one of the radio" has been changed to

--mobile stations determines that only said another one of the mobile--.

In claim 4, line 2,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 4, line 3,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 4, line 4,

the phrase "radio stations determines that only said another one of the radio stations" has been

changed to

--mobile stations determines that only said another one of the mobile stations--.

In claim 5, line 2,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 5, line 4,

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 5, line 5,

Art Unit: 2614

the phrase "radio stations" has been changed to

--mobile stations--.

In claim 6, line 1,

the phrase "radio station that communicates with another radio" has been changed to

--mobile station that communicates with another mobile--.

In claim 6, line 12,

the phrase "radio station and said another radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station and said another mobile station--.

In claim 6, line 15,

the phrase "radio station and the other radio station or only one of the radio station" has been changed to

--the mobile station and the other mobile station or only one of the mobile station--.

In claim 6, line 16,

the phrase "radio station, and to identify one of the radio station and the other radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station, and to identify one of the mobile station and the other mobile station--.

In claim 6, line 17,

the phrase "the radio" has been changed to

--the mobile--.

In claim 6, line 18,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

Art Unit: 2614

In claim 6, line 21,

the phrase "another radio" has been changed to

--another mobile--.

In claim 6, line 23,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 6, line 24,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 6, line 28,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 7, line 1,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 7, line 3,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 7, line 4,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 8, line 1,

Art Unit: 2614

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 8, line 4,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 8, line 5,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 9, line 1,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

In claim 11, line 1,

the phrase "radio station" has been changed to

--mobile station--.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: upon close review of the claims, the prior art, and applicant's remarks it appears that the allowance of claims 1-9 and 11 are appropriate.

The transmission of system software of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,509 by Tanaka is functionally equivalent to the claimed transmitting software because a base station performs the claimed limitations of transmitting system software to a mobile station that is not equipped with the software in a second radio communication method. Although, Tanaka teaches a base station

transmits system software to a mobile station (col. 7, lines 3-61; Fig. 1), Tanaka fails to teach identifying which one of the two radio stations is not equipped with software of a relevant radio communication method, and the software of this radio communication method is transmitted to the identified one of the two radio stations from the other one of the two radio stations.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form.
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or call:

(571) 272-2600 (for customer service assistance)

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Hashem whose telephone number is (571) 272-7542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. Any inquiry of a general nature or

Art Unit: 2614

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 9

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lh

April 20, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600